## **REMARKS**

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application. Claims 1, 10, and 14 have been amended. Claims 1-22 are pending.

## Rejections under 35 USC §102(e)

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,144,391 to Hinson et al. (hereinafter "Hinson"). To expedite allowance of the present application, claims 1, 10, and 14 have been amended.

Claims 1, as amended, recites a method that includes presenting a view of the video stream <u>currently being captured</u> by the video streaming device to a user and contemporaneously presenting one or more images previously captured by the video streaming device to the user. Claims 10 and 14, as amended, similarly recite displaying a view of the video stream <u>currently being captured by the video streaming device</u> together with one or more images previously captured by the video streaming device.

Hinson discloses an electronic video store including storing means for storing digital data representing multiple video frames and access means for providing random access input and output of video frames to and from the storing means. This store system enables the retrieval of two clips <u>from the store</u> and the simultaneously display of the two clips retrieved from the store in an environment for editing. However, Hinson does not disclose presenting a view of a video stream <u>currently being captured</u> by the video streaming device while contemporaneously presenting one or more images previously

Application Number: 09/841,360

Reply to Office Action dated 9/21/2005

Attorney Docket Number: 154783.02

Filing Date: 4/24/2001

captured by the video streaming device. These limitations are recited in claims 1, 10, and

14. Therefore, Applicants submit that Hinson does not anticipate claims 1, 10, and 14.

Claims 2-9, 11-13, and 15-22 are dependent claims and therefore include all the

limitations of their independent base claim in additional to adding further limitations of

their own. Therefore, Applicants submit that claims 2-9, 11-13, and 15-22 are not

anticipated by Hinson for at least the same reasons as stated above with regard to claims

1, 10, and 14.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, in view of the above amendment and remarks, Applicants submit

that the claims are patentably distinct over the prior art and that all the rejections to the

claims have been overcome. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the pending

claims be allowed, and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the

Examiner believes, after this amendment, that the application is not in condition for

allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicants' attorney at the telephone

number listed below.

Application Number: 09/841,360

Reply to Office Action dated 9/21/2005

Attorney Docket Number: 154783.02

Filing Date: 4/24/2001

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicants hereby request any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee that is not covered by an enclosed check please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50-0463.

	Respectfully submitted,
	Microsoft Corporation
Date: <u>December 5, 2005</u>	By:_ Lin Jon
	Lisa Tom, Reg. No. 52,291
	Attorney for Applicants Direct telephone (425) 707-3712
•	Microsoft Corporation
	One Microsoft Way
	Redmond WA 98052
I hereby certify that this correspondence is deposited with the United St with sufficient postage as first class Mail Stop Amendment, Commission VA 22313-1450	ates Postal Service on the date shown below mail in an envelope addressed to: ner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, the date shown below to the United States
	Rimma N. Oks
	Type or Print Name

Application Number: 09/841,360 Reply to Office Action dated 9/21/2005 Attorney Docket Number: 154783.02

Filing Date: 4/24/2001